# SOCIAL INFLUENCES ON CHILDREN'S ENGAGEMENT IN SCHOOL

Ву

SARAH L. HERALD-BROWN\*

KAREN P. KOCHEL\*\*

GARY W. LADD\*\*\*

**ABSTRACT** 

Children's social relationships have been linked with various indicators of their school engagement. This overview of the current literature examines evidence concerning the processes through which children's relationships with teachers, parents, and peers positively or negatively contribute to children's engagement in school. In this paper, we advance the argument that peers have a more direct and substantial influence on children's school engagement than either teachers or parents. Moreover, we contend that the influence of parents and, to a lesser extent, teachers on children's school engagement is more often circuitous than direct. Specifically, we argue that parents and teachers impact children's peer relations, which, in turn, bear on children's school engagement.

Keywords: school engagement, teachers, parents, peer rejection, friendship, victimization

#### INTRODUCTION

Children's engagement in school is an influential predictor of their overall school adjustment and academic achievement (see Fredricks, Blumenfeld, & Paris, 2004). Recently, researchers have explored children's social relationships as predictors of their school engagement and adjustment. This is not surprising considering the many modes of instruction which require the students' engagement with teachers and peers in social interaction. As a result, considerable investigative attention has been devoted to the hypothesis that children's social relationships may influence their engagement in the classroom.

In past years, researchers have examined how children's relationships with teachers, parents, and peers bear on children's school engagement. Generally, evidence suggests that supportive teacher and parent relationships positively influence children's school engagement (Birch & Ladd, 1996; Connell, Spencer, & Abner, 1994). Along these lines, positive peer relations (i.e., friendship) appear to promote children's successful school adjustment (Ladd, Kochenderfer, & Coleman, 1996), whereas negative peer experiences (i.e., peer rejection, victimization) have been linked with school disengagement (Buhs, Ladd, & Herald, 2006).

The premise extended here is that peers, in particular,

have the potential to exert considerable influence on agemates because of the large quantities of time they spend with one another (Hymel, Comfort, Schonert-Reichl, & McDougall, 1996). In fact, it is our contention that (1) peers have a more direct influence on children's school engagement than either parents or teachers, and (2) the influence that adults (i.e., teachers, parents) have on children's classroom engagement is through their influence on children's social development. That is, teachers and parents impact children's peer relationships and peers, and in turn, impact children's engagement in school. The goal of the current paper is to provide an appraisal of extent evidence regarding the contribution of children's relationships (with teachers, parents, and peers) to their school engagement, with a particular focus on the contributing role of children's peer relations.

#### Teachers' Influence on Children's Classroom Engagement

The relationships that children form with their school teachers have the potential to impact their engagement in the classroom. On the one hand, there is evidence that teachers directly influence children's academic engagement by establishing positive, supportive relationships with their students (see Hamre & Pianta, 2001). Close teacher-child relationships may provide young children with resources (e.g., emotional security,

guidance) that facilitate a positive orientation toward school. Teacher-child relationships that are characterized by canflict, however, may decrease children's classraom participatian and pramate negative schaal attitudes (Birch & Ladd, 1997).

On the other hand, teachers may indirectly impact children's engagement in school by shaping children's peer relationships within the classraam. Far example, Harrist and Bradley (2003) implemented a classraam wide policy in which teachers enforced peer inclusion. Findings revealed that when the classmates are included with one another in activities, their feelings toward ane another is also improved. Other findings reveal that teachers, wha implement classroom activities where peer interaction accurs and collaborative learning takes place, have students who are better prepared academically and more engaged in the classroom (Brown & Palincsar, 1989; Ryan & Patrick, 2001). These findings suggest that perhaps teachers' impact an children's classraam engagement is an indirect process. That is, teachers influence on their students' social relations and in turn, students' classroom engagement increases. In sum, available evidence implicates the teacher-child relationship as a patential precursor to children's school adjustment, both directly and indirectly.

## Parents' Direct and Indirect Influences on Children's Classroom Engagement

Researchers have rarely investigated the premise that parents have a direct bearing an children's engagement in school. The existing research suggests, that emotional bonds with parents and parental availability are two factors that may affect children's schaal success. Far example, Cannell, Spencer, and Abner (1994) reparted that children who perceived their parents as emotionally and socially supportive demonstrated greater interest in schaal. Mareaver, findings fram another study indicate that students from single-parent homes are more likely to disengage from the classroom and drop-out of school (Rasenthal, 1998). Althaugh results fram these investigations imply that parents have the patential to shape children's engagement in the classroom, an accumulating body of evidence suggests that parents

more likely affect children's academic success in indirect ways.

There is consistent support for the hypothesis that childrearing practices predict peer relations. For example, Schwartz and calleagues (Schwartz, Dadge, Pettit, & Bates; 1997, 2000) have investigated longitudinal associations between punitive parenting and children's victimization. In one study canducted by this team of researchers, preschoolers whose parents utilized restrictive discipline and overly punitive parenting were more often victimized by their grade school peers. In their later study, Schwartz and calleagues found that, for children with few friends, early restrictive discipline predicted later victimization.

A second hypothesis that the nature of parent-child relationships influences children's academic engagement has also received empirical support. For example, overly close and dependent parent-child relationships appear to place youth at risk for peer victimization and rejection (Ladd & Ladd, 1998). It has been reasoned that enmeshed parent-child relations discourage children's autonomy (Bowers, Smith, & Binney, 1994), which has the potential to interfere with their ability to a establish social ties with peers. In sum, although there is some evidence that parents directly impact children's school functioning, it is our contention that, more often, parents affect peer relations, which, in turn, influence children's school achievement.

#### Peers' Influence on Children's School Engagement

Of all the sacial relationships in which children engage, the relationships they form with peers are arguably the most influential in shaping children's attitudes towards school (e.g., Ryan, 2000). Three forms of peer relationships (i.e., peer graup rejectian, friendship, peer victimizatian) have been most extensively investigated as potential predictors of children's school engagement.

Peer group rejection: Peer rejection is defined as how a child is being disliked by his or her peer group (see Bukowski & Haza, 1989). Empirical evidence has shawn that being rejected by one's peer group predicts a plethora of problems in school (i.e., disengagement,

underachievement; Ladd, 2005; Ladd, Herold-Brown, & Reiser, in press). Recently, researchers have explored several explanations for the link between peer rejection ond school engagement.

One hypothesis is that children who are rejected by their classmates hove fewer opportunities to engage in classroom learning activities. In fact, current evidence suggests that rejected children are often excluded from classroom activities (Buhs, Ladd, & Herald, 2006) and thot children who are exposed to longer periods of rejection show greater disengagement from the classroom (Ladd et ol., in press). A second premise is that being exposed to peer rejection impocts children's perceptions of themselves as academically and socially competent (Boivin & Begin, 1989; Boivin & Hymel, 1997). Preliminory evidence indicates that how children view themselves socially and ocodemically have the potential to impact their engagement and achievement in school. For example, in one study, children who viewed peers as untrustworthy tended to be less accepted by peers and performed more poorly in the classroom (Betts Rotenberg, 2007). Thus, evidence suggests, that o) rejection limits children's opportunities for classroom engagement and, b) rejection impacts the way children view themselves and others and thus these negative views may have o detrimental effect on their school engagement. Evidence reviewed thus for implies that the negative experience of peer rejection is what drives disengagement from the classroom; however, peer groups are not the only context for children's relationships.

Friendship: In contrast to peer group relations, friendships ore dyadic in nature. Friendships occur between pairs of children and are created and maintained by mutual ogreement (Ladd, Price, & Hort, 1990). Recently, researchers hove begun to examine what processes within friendships influence children's school engagement.

One premise that has been advanced is that friends offer children various forms of support, such as help with social or academic problems, emotional security, and physical aid (Wentzel, 1998). Several researchers have argued that these varied forms of support are important to promote

classroom engogement (Berndt, Hawkins, & Jiao, 1999; Ladd et al., 1996). For example, Ladd and colleagues (1996) found that children who perceived their friendships as supportive also viewed their classrooms as environments conducive to learning. Another study revealed that children who felt supported by their peers were more engaged in the classroom (Wentzel, 1998). This research implies that participation in friendships makes children feel supported, which, in turn, promotes engagement in the classroom.

In contrast, a second premise is that friendships may be a source of conflict rother than support. Researchers studying this hypothesis have found that children who have high levels of conflict within their friendships have negative attitudes toward school and ore often disengaged from the classroom (e.g., Lodd et al., 1996). This line of work indicates that friendships don't always contribute positively to children's engagement in the classroom, especially when the friendship is characterized by conflict.

A third hypothesis is that children may model their friends' academic goals. Evidence from one study supports this claim by showing that children whose friends had high academic standards oltered their own behaviors in woys that promoted high achievement (Wentzel, Filisetti, & Looney, 2007). Therefore, modeling may be one way that friends affect peers' classroom engagement. Together, these hypotheses imply that friendships both positively and negatively influence children's engagement in school.

Peer victimization: Peer victimization is traditionally conceptuolized os a child's repeated exposure to negotive actions inflicted by one or more peers (Olweus, 2001) and is understood to encompass both face to face confrontation (e.g., physical aggression, verbal abuse, etc.) and sociol manipulation through a third porty (i.e., relational aggression, spreading rumors; Juvonen & Graham, 2001). Not surprisingly, victimization has been linked with various problems in school (e.g., low grades, poor ocodemic readiness, school ovoidance; Juvonen, Nishina & Graham, 2000; Kochenderfer & Ladd, 1996; Ladd et al., 1997; Lopez & DuBois, 2005) and; therefore,

researchers have begun examining the pracesses through which peer victimization impacts children's classroomengagement.

There is accruing support for the hypothesis that victimization incites psychological and physical distress, which, in turn, interferes with classroom engagement. On the one hand, Ladd and colleogues (1997) found that victimized, as compared to non-victimized, children frequently avaided schaal and reparted higher levels af loneliness (i.e., psychological distress) in school. On the other hand, Greco et al. (2006) reported that the cambinatian af peer victimization and chranic abdaminal pain (i.e., physical distress) was predictive af poor ocademic competence (i.e., decreased cooperation in the classroom). In another study, peer victimization farecasted gains in bath physical and psychological health problems, which, in turn, predicted school functioning (e.g., absences, poor GPA; Nishino et al., 2005). In sum, extent research appears to corroborate the view that victimization contributes to paor psychological and physical health, both of which have the patential to adversely affect children's school engagement.

#### Conclusions

The premise explared within this review is that peers are arguably the mast influential sacial relationship far shaping children's attitudes towords their engagement in school. It is our contention that, while teachers and parents are vital in shaping children's arientation towards school, peers are often the catalyst through which adults influence children. We can recognize the importance of teachers and parents, but should stress the contributions of peers in developing and maintaining children's engagement in the classroom. In light of these findings, greater research is needed to explore the processes through which peers influence children's adjustment to school.

#### References

[1]. Berndt, T. J., Hawkins, J. A., & Jiao, Z. (1999). Influences of friends and friendships on adjustment to junior high school. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly*, 45, 13-41.

- [2]. Betts, L.R., & Rotenberg, K. J. (2007). Trustwarthiness, friendships and self-control: Factors that contribute to young children's school adjustment. *Infant and Child Development*, 16, 491-508.
- [3]. Birch, S. H., & Ladd, G. W. (1997). The teacher-child relationship and children's early school adjustment. *Journal of School Psychology*, 35, 61-79.
- [4]. Birch, S. H., & Ladd, G. W. (1998). Children's interpersonal behaviors and the teacher-child relationship. *Develapmental Psychalagy*, 34, 934-946.
- [5]. Boivin, M., & Begin, G. (1989). Peer status and self-perceptian amang early elementary schaal children: The case of the rejected children. *Child Development*, 60, 591-596.
- [6]. Boivin, M., & Hymel, S. (1997). Peer experiences and social self-perceptions: A sequential model. *Developmental Psychology*, 33, 135-145.
- [7]. Bowers, L., Smith, P. K., & Binney, V. (1994). Perceived family relationships of bullies, victims, and bully/victims in middle childhood. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 11, 215-232.
- [8]. Brown, A. & Palincsar, A. (1989). Guided, caoperative learning and individual knawledge acquisitian. In L. Resnick (Ed.), *Knowledge, learning and instruction* (pp. 307-336). Lawrence Erlbaum Associotes.
- [9]. Buhs, E. S., & Ladd, G. W. (2001). Peer rejection as antecedent of young children's school adjustment: An examination of mediating pracesses. *Developmental Psychology*, 37, 550-560.
- [10]. Buhs, E. S., Ladd, G. W., & Herald, S. L. (2006). Peer exclusion and victimization: Processes that mediate the relation between peer graup rejection and children's classraam engagement and achievement, *Jaurnal af Educational Psychology*, 98, 1-13.
- [11]. Bukowski, W. M., & Hoza, B. (1989). Popularity and friendship: Issues in theory, measurement, and outcome. In T. J. Berndt & G. W. Ladd (Eds.), *Peer relationships in child development* (pp. 15-45). New Yark: Jahn Wiley & Sans.
- [12]. Connell, J. P., Spencer, M. B., & Aber, J. L. (1994). Educational risk and resilience in African-American youth:

- Cantext, self, action, and outcomes in school. *Child Development*, 65, 493-506.
- [13]. Fredricks, J. A., Blumenfeld, P. C. & Paris, A. H. (2004). School engagement: Potential of the concept, state of the evidence. *Review af Educatianal Research, 74,* 59-109.
- [14]. Greco, L. A., Freeman, K. E., & Dufton, L. (2006). Overt and relational victimization among children with frequent abdominal pain: links to social skills, academic functioning, and health service use. *Jaurnal af Pediatric Psychology*, 32, 319-329.
- [15]. Hamre, B.K. & Pianta, R.C. (2001). Early teacher-child relationships and the trajectory of children's school outcomes through eighth grade. Child Development, 72, 625-638.
- [16]. Harrist, A. W., & Bradley, K. D. (2003). 'You can't say you can't play": Intervening in the process of social exclusion in the kindergarten classroom. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 18, 185-205.
- [17]. Hymel, S., Comfort, C., Schonert-Reichel, K., & McDougall, P. (1996). Academic failure and school drapaut: The influence an peers. In J. Juvanen & K. R. Wentzel (Eds.), Social motivation: Understanding children's school adjustment. (pp. 313-345). NY: Cambridge University Press.
- [18]. Juvonen, J., Nishina, A., & Graham, S. (2000). Peer harassment, psychalagical adjustment, and schaal functioning in early adolescence. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 92, 349-359.
- [19]. Kochenderfer, B. J., & Ladd, G. W. (1996). Peer victimization: Cause ar cansequence af school maladjustment? *Child Development*, 67, 1305-1317.
- [20]. Ladd, G. W. (2005). Children's peer relations and social competence. Yale University Press.
- [21]. Ladd, G. W., Herald-Brown, S. L., & Reiser, M. (in press). Does chronic classroom peer rejection predict the development of children's classroam participation during the grade school years? *Child Development*.
- [22]. Ladd, G. W., Kochenderfer, B. J., & Coleman, C. C. (1996). Friendship quality as a predictor of young

- children's early school adjustment. *Child Development*, 67,1103-1118.
- [23]. Ladd, G. W., Kochenderfer, B. J., & Coleman, C. C. (1997). Classroom peer acceptance, friendship, and victimizatian: Distinct relational systems that cantribute uniquely ta children's school adjustment? *Child Development*, 68, 1181-1197.
- [24]. Ladd, G.W., & Ladd, B.J. (1998). Parenting behaviors and the parent-child relationship: Correlates of peer victimization in kindergarten? *Developmental Psychalogy*, 34, 1450-1458.
- [25]. Ladd, G. W., Price, J. M., & Hart, C. H. (1990). Preschoolers' behavioral orientations and patterns of peer contact: Predictive of peer status? In S. R. Asher & J. D. Coie (Eds.) *Peer rejectian in childhaad* (pp. 90-115). New Yark: Cambridge University Press.
- [26]. Lopez, C. & DuBois, D. L. (2005). Peer victimization and rejection: Investigation of an integrative model of effects on emotional, behavioral, and academic adjustment in early adalescence. *Jaurnal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology, 34*, 25-36.
- [27]. Parker J. G., & Asher, S. R. (1987). Peer relations and later personal adjustment: Are low-accepted children "at risk"? *Psychological Bulletin*, 102, 357-389.
- [28]. Rosenthal, B. S. (1998). Non-school correlates of drapaut: An integrative review at the literature. *Children and Yauth Services Review, 20,* 413-433.
- [29]. Ryan, A., & Patrick, H. (2001). The classraam sacial environment and changes in adolescents' motivation and engagement during middle school. *American Educational Research Jaurnal*, 38, 437-460.
- [30]. Schwartz, D., Dodge, K. A., Pettit, G. S., & Bates, J. E. (1997). The early sacialization af aggressive victims af bullying. *Child Development*, 68, 665-675.
- [31]. Schwartz, D., Dodge, K.A., Pettit, G. S., & Bates, J. E. (2000). Friendship as a moderating factor in the pathway between early harsh hame environment and later victimization in the peer graup. Developmental Psychology, 36, 646-662
- [32]. Vaillancourt, T., Clinton, J., McDougal, P., Schmidt, L.

### **ARTICLES**

A., & Hymel, S. (2007). The neurobiology of peer victimization and rejection. In S. R. Jimerson, S. M. Swearer, & D. L. Espeloge (Eds.) *The International Handbook of School Bullying*. Mohwoh, NJ: Lowrence Erlboum Associates.

[33]. Vandell, D. L., & Hembree, S. E. (1994). Peer sociol status and friendship: Independent contributors to children's social and academic adjustment. *Merrill*-

Palmer Quarterly, 40, 461-477.

[34]. Wentzel, K. R. (1998). Social relationships and motivation in middle school: The role of parents, teachers, and peers. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 90, 202-209*.

[35]. Wentzel, K. R., Filisetti, L., & Looney, L. (2007). Adolescent prosociol behavior: The role of self-processes and contextual cues. *Child Development, 78,* 895-910.

#### **ABOUT THE AUTHORS**

- \* Doctoral Candidate, Department of Psychology, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ.
- \*\* Graduate Student, School of Social and Family Dynamics, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ.
- \*\*\* Cowden Distinguished Protessor, School of Social and Family Dynamics and Department of Psychology, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ.

Sarah L. Herald-Brown's research interest tocuses on children's peer relationships and how these relationships influence adjustment in the school milieu. Specifically, she has investigated how children's behavioral styles, in conjunction with the duration and quality of their peer relationships, impact children's scholastic achievement and motivation in school. Herald-Brown has coauthored publications in leading journals and handbooks on children's social development.



Karen P. Kochel has research Interests in children's and adolescents' adverse peer experiences and Internalizing symptoms. Her current research tocuses on the confluence and stability of negative peer relations, particularly peer victimization and rejection as risk factors for psychological maladjustment. Kochel received her bachelors degree in psychology from the University of Richmond, Virginia and is currently a fourth year doctoral student in the Program of Family and Human Development at Arizona State University.



Gary W. Ladd is a Cowden Distinguished Professor in the School of Social and Family Dynamics at Arizona Stafe University. Previously, he was a Professor at Purdue University and the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. Ladd was Associate Editor for the scientific journals, Child Development and the Journal of Social and Personal Relationships, and currently is Editor of Merrill-Palmer Quarterly. He is Director of the Pathways Project, a long-term study of children from kindergarten through high school. Ladd has published books, empirical studies, theoretical articles, and reviews of research on children's social development, and is interested in how socialization experiences with peers, parents, and teachers influence children's early psychological and school adjustment.

